	Case 5.07-cv-02295-5vv Document 05 Thed 11/04/00 Fage 1 0/1
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION
11	
12	ESTHER KRENN, et al.,) Case No.: C 07-2295 PVT
13	Plaintiffs, ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS' IMPLIED REQUEST FOR AN ORDER
14	v.)
15	COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, et al.,
16	Defendants.)
17	·
18	On October 20, 2008, Defense counsel mailed to the court a document entitled "Proposed
19	Joinder in Stipulated Protective Order." Based on said document and the file herein,
20	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' implied request ¹ for an order is DENIED as
21	moot. The Stipulated Protective Order expressly applies to outside counsel for the parties. Thus,
22	associate counsel for Defendants—who are outside counsel of record in this case—are already subject
23	to the provisions of the Stipulated Protective Order.
24	Dated: 11/3/08 Patricia V. Frumbull
25	PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL
26	United States Magistrate Judge
27	
28	Lodging a proposed order with chambers is not a proper way to present to the court a request for an order. <i>See</i> Civil L.R. 7-1(a).
	Order, page 1